and denies that Central Florida Toyota is an agent of TMS. TMS denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

30. In response to Paragraph 30 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, TMS admits that a term of its limited written warranty is that purchasers of Toyota automobiles, to the extent those automobiles are covered under the warranty, must take the vehicles to an independent, authorized Toyota dealership to obtain warranty repairs. TMS denies that Central Florida Toyota, or any Toyota authorized dealership, is an agent of TMS. TMS denies that it was the manufacturer of Plaintiffs' vehicle.

31. In response to Paragraph 31 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, TMS admits that Central Florida Toyota is an authorized dealership of TMS, and that the relationship, duties, and obligations between TMS and Central Florida are governed by an agreement which is a written document that speaks for itself. TMS expressly denies that it was the manufacturer of the subject vehicle and denies that Central Florida Toyota is an agent of TMS. TMS denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 31 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

32. In response to Paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, TMS admits that Central Florida Toyota is an authorized dealership of TMS, and that the relationship, duties, and obligations between TMS and Central Florida Toyota are governed by an agreement which is a written document that speaks for itself. TMS expressly denies that it was the manufacturer of the subject vehicle and denies that Central Florida Toyota is an agent of TMS. TMS denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

33. In response to Paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendant admits that the owner's manual for the subject vehicle is written document that speaks for itself. To the extent

TOY-TQ002-06-3D-00000196